

*B1*

potassium or ammonium fatty alcohol diglycol ether sulphates or mixtures of these surfactants. Particular preference is given to using the surfactants Volpo T/785, Volpo T/10, Genapol LRO, Emulsogen, Pluronic types or mixtures of these surfactants, which are known per se. The proportion of surfactant in the crop protection compositions according to the invention is from 5.0 to 40.0% by weight, preferably between 10.0 and 25% by weight.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 13, with the following rewritten paragraph:

*B2*

The table shows the scores obtained in a herbicide trial in sugar beet. A conventional metamitron SC was compared, at an equivalent application rate in 1/ha, but with reduced amounts of active compound per ha, with a metamitron SC according to the invention.

(6.5 l/ha X 700 g/l = 4550 g/ha compared with 6.5 l/ha X 550 g/l = 3575 g/ha corresponding to -21.4%)

Please replace the composition of Suspension concentrate B, at the upper right-hand column of page 8, with the following composition of Suspension concentrate B:

Suspension concentrate B:

*B3*

	g/l
desmedipham	35
phenmedipham	100
ethofumesate	200
Pluronic	55
Genapol LRO	150
silica gel SM 614	35
antifreeze agent	60
stabilizer	0.5
antifoam	5
water	ad 1 1

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 10, under the heading "Example 2: Comparison of the activity of two crop protection compositions" with the following rewritten paragraph:

B4  
A crop protection composition according to the invention (suspension concentrate C; SC C) which comprised 320 g/l of desmedipham was compared with a crop protection composition known from the prior art (Betanal® AM from Hoechst Schering AgrEvo GmbH; Betanal AM comprises only desmedipham; EC), which comprised 160 g of desmedipham/l. 0.75 ml of the suspension concentrate according to the invention (SC C)/ha and 1.5 l of Betanal AM (EC)/ha were applied (this corresponds to equivalent amounts/ha). The results are shown in Tab. 3 and Fig. 2.

Please replace the table at the top of page 11 with the following table:

B5

Comparison of the activity of a suspension according to the invention with *Betanal AM							
Type	Activity [%] /species						
	GALAP	MATCH	MATIN	STEME	CHEAL	POLPE	Mean
SC C	30	60	35	55	95	20	49.2
EC	40	30	20	0	100	20	35

Please replace the table on page 14 with the following table:

B6

Variant	Active Compounds (g/l)	Application rate (l/ha)	Activity %		
			ø*		
			6 species	Matin**	Match***
Standard EC Betanal ® AM	160 DMP	1	20	0	30
		1.5	35	20	30
		2	46.7	20	40
Suspension	320	0.5	35.8	20	60